WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Linited	States	Ωf	America
OHILEU	States	UI	AIIIEIICa

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.		V.					
Miguel Lugo-Valenzuela		guel Lugo-Valenzuela	Case Number:	15-9180MJ			
was pr	esent ar	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 and represented by counsel. I conclude the detention of the defendant pending	by a preponderance of the evidence				
I find b	y a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.						
	×		arged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
		If released herein, the defendant face Enforcement, placing him/her beyond deported or otherwise removed.	ces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs nd the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been				
		The defendant has no significant cont	e defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	☑ The defendant has a prior criminal history.						
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
		The defendant is an amnesty applicar substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizo	na or in the United States and has			
		There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.				
		The defendant attempted to evade law	w enforcement contact by fleeing fro	m law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	f years imp	risonment.			
Court a	The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the mate ne of the hearing in this matter, except a	rial findings of the Pretrial Services As noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the			
		Co	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of conditi		arance of the defendant as required.			
		DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENTION				
pendin order o	rrections g appea of a cour shall de	efendant is committed to the custody of a facility separate, to the extent practica I. The defendant shall be afforded a rest of the United States or on request of a liver the defendant to the United States	ble, from persons awaiting or serving asonable opportunity for private con an attorney for the Government, the p Marshal for the purpose of an appe	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections			
procee	Ū		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	twist Count it is sourcelle recognisiit.			
District from th objection	er a cop Court. e date c	ORDERED that should an appeal of this by of the motion for review/reconsiderating Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.Fursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.Fursuant to a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file of CRIM.P.	ion to Pretrial Services at least one of 2., effective December 1, 2009, Defe the oral order is stated on the record	day prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written			
	l Service	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to sufficiently in advance of the hearing investigate the potential third party custo	before the District Court to allow Pre				
DATE:	Ju	ne 12, 2015		Eileen S. Willett			
			United	d States Magistrate Judge			